

റാവുസാഹിബ് മിസ്റ്റർ സി. മത്തായി.—ചില സ്ഥലങ്ങളിൽ അനുവദിച്ചു കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഞാറയ്ക്കലേതും മിക്കവാറും തീർച്ചയാകാറായി.

പ്രസിഡെണ്ടു്.—നാങ്ങൾ ഇവിടെവന്നു ഇക്കാര്യങ്ങളെപ്പറ്റി ചോദിക്കുന്നതിന്നു മുമ്പായി, അധഃകൃതസംരക്ഷകനോടും ഉപസംരക്ഷകനോടുമൊക്കെ ചോദിച്ചു മനസ്സിലാക്കണം. അതാണ് നല്ലതു്. എന്നുവെച്ചു ഇവിടെ ചോദിച്ചുകൂടാത്തതാണെന്നു പറയുന്നതായി വിചാരിക്കുകയുണ്ടു്.

റാവുസാഹിബ് മിസ്റ്റർ സി. മത്തായി.—മുഖ്യകാട്ടു് സ്ഥലം എടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ആലോചന തീർച്ചയാകാറായി. തറവാലയ്ക്കും മാറും കണക്കു അയച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഒരു അപേക്ഷ കൊടുത്താൽ ഉടൻ അനുവദിച്ചു കിട്ടുവാൻ ഇതു് ഒരു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടുകാര്യമല്ല. റവന്യൂ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിനോടും ആലോചിക്കണം. അതു കൊണ്ടൊക്കെ ആരമാസം ആകാതെ സാധിച്ചെന്നു വരികയില്ല.

Bridge over Talippara Canal.

59. Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—In view of the answer to question No. 86 (d) answered during the Budget Session of 1103, will the Government be pleased to state (a) whether they have considered the desirability of constructing a bridge over the said canal ;

(b) if the answer is in the affirmative, whether the Government have decided to take up the construction in 1104 and whether they have allotted any amount for the said purpose ;

(c) if the answer is in the negative, whether the Government have any idea of taking up the said work in the near future ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—(a) Yes.

(b) No allotment could be provided for in the 1104 budget.

(c) The Superintendent of Agriculture and Panchayats has been asked to provide for the work in his budget proposals for 1105.

Stamp Vendors in the State.

60. Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—Will the Government be pleased to inform the Council:—

(a) whether in the case of the sale of copying papers, the Government are insisting upon stamp vendors in putting down the serial number of the papers and in noting down the name of the person to whom such papers are vended, on the back of such papers;

(b) whether there is any necessity for the same being done ;

(c) whether the practice obtains throughout the State ;

(d) if the answer to question (c) is in the negative, the reasons for such differentiation ;

(e) what the discount allowed at present to the stamp vendors for the sale of copying papers is ;

(f) whether the Government are aware that the restrictions imposed upon stamp vendors with regard to the highest denominations of stamp paper they can vend, are causing hardship to the general public ;

(g) whether the Government have considered the question of relaxing the rule referred to in (f) to the extent of at least a hundred rupees in the case of at least such stamp vendors as are prepared to undertake the stocking of stamp papers of higher denominations ;

(h) if the Government are insisting upon stamp vendors in noting down the names of persons to whom court fee labels are vended on the face of the labels themselves ;

(i) if the answer to (h) is in the affirmative, the object in and the reasons for enforcing this rule ;

(j) whether the Government are aware that the practice referred to in question (a) and (h) is causing very great hardship and inconvenience to the stamp vendors concerned ?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) The Member's attention is invited to Articles 44 and 61 of *the Stamp Manual*.

(b) Yes ; to prevent the use of counterfeit papers.

(c) Vide answer to (a).

(d) The necessity for answering this question does not arise in the face of the answers given to question (a), (b) and (c).

(e) The Member is referred to Article 61 (c) of *the Stamp Manual*.

(f) No.

(g) No.

(h) The Member is referred to Articles 46 and 61 of *the Stamp Manual*.

(i) The necessity for answering the question does not arise in view of the answer to questions (b) and (h).

(j) No, with regard to the practice referred to in question (a). The necessity for answering the question relating to the practice referred to in question (h) does not arise in view of the answer given to that question.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—Has there been any case of counterfeit paper being used before this ?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—I cannot say.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—The answer given is "yes ; to prevent the use of counterfeit papers".

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—Preven]

tion is better than cure. Because of that prevention, there need not have been cases before.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—With reference to the answer to (e), I think it is one rupee for 100 rupees. Then with reference to (j), for the stamp vendors to earn one rupee, they have to put down the serial number of the papers, and note down the name of the person to whom such papers are vended on the back of 800 papers. The practice is unheard of.

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—That is the practice, and that is the rule.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—So also in the court-fee labels?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—With regard to court-fee labels, the name of the vendor and the date only are necessary. Article 44 which deals with court-fee labels lays down: "*On all adhesive stamp sold, the vendor should sign his name and enter the date*". That is the rule.

APPENDIX I.

(*Vide Answer to Question No. 46.*)

Letter dated 30th December 1927 from the Honorary Secretary, Young Womens' Christian Association, Kunnamkulam.

"With reference to your letter of the 1st November I have to inform you that the Seva Mandiram is under the control and management of the Y. W. C. A. and is a part of its work. It is built therefore on the Y. W. C. A. land purchased for the Association work. Land was purchased for the Association before the Government contributed Rs. 500 and subsequently to the Government contribution also land has been purchased. The Seva Mandir building is constructed on a piece of land purchased before the Government contributed Rs. 500. Please note that the Government contribution was not for the hostel but for purchasing land for the Association purpose, and that the main purpose of the Seva Mandir is to give habitation to women and children, which naturally comes under the scope of the Association work.

I was away from Kunnamkulam and that has been the reason for the delay in replying you".

APPENDIX II.

(*Vide Answer to Question No. 50.*)

"The diversion of the Manali river is a part of what has been called the Karuvannur Scheme. In this Scheme two different alignments for the diversion of the Manali river were surveyed. The first of these is at a place near Veembu. This involves very deep cutting and hence the cost of diversion will be prohibitive. This is, however, abandoned. The second is at a place called Marathakara. This proposed channel will pass through the existing cutting near the Padavaratt temple and empty itself into the Pancha lands beyond the Railway line near Ollur. A diversion from the Karuvannur river at a place between Palakkadavu and Arattupuzha is also being investigated. This goes through Pallisseri Kayal to the Kole lands near Muthulliyal. This proposal and the Marathakara diversion of the water seem to be feasible.